STIPULATION AND ORDER CASE NO: C 06-6421-JSW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Stipulation is entered into by and among plaintiff John Droskokski ("Plaintiff"),			
individual defendants Peter F. Van Camp, Keith D. Taylor, Sushil Kapoor, Marjorie S. Backaus,			
Renee F. Lanam, Peter T. Ferris, Brandi Galvin Morandi, Scott Kriens, Louis J. Lavigne, Jr., and			
Gary Hromadko, and nominal defendant Equinix, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants").			
WHEREAS, Plaintiff has filed the above-captioned action in this Court alleging certain			
violations of the federal securities and state laws ("Federal Action");			

WHEREAS, Plaintiff purports to have properly served all of the defendants named in the Federal Action except for Philip J. Koen, Steven T. Clontz, and Steven P. Eng;

WHEREAS, Defendants dispute the propriety of the purported service on certain of the defendants named in the Federal Action; and

WHEREAS, by this stipulation, the parties intend to set a uniform date for Defendants to respond to the complaint in the Federal Action;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 6-1, by and between the undersigned counsel for the parties that:

- 1. Defendants Peter F. Van Camp, Keith D. Taylor, Sushil Kapoor, Marjorie S. Backaus, Renee F. Lanam, Peter T. Ferris, Brandi Galvin Morandi, Scott Kriens, Louis J. Lavigne, Jr., and Gary Hromadko, and Nominal Defendant Equinix, Inc. shall file a motion to dismiss or other response to the complaint in the above-captioned action no later than sixty (60) days after the date of entry of this Order;
- 2. If Defendants file any motion directed at the complaint in the above-captioned action, opposition briefs shall be filed no later than forty-five (45) days after the filing of such motions, and reply briefs shall be filed no later than thirty (30) days after the filing of such oppositions; and
- 3. By entering into this Stipulation, Defendants do not waive any right to challenge the sufficiency of the purported service on any of the defendants named in the above-captioned action.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 6-1, by and between the undersigned counsel for the parties, that the parties may enter into

Case 3:06-cv-06421-JSW Document 10 Filed 01/03/07 Page 3 of 3

ATORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW	1	and submit a further appropriate stipulation ame	ending this filing.	
	2	Dated: December 22, 2006	FENWICK & WEST LLP	
	3		By:/s/ Kalama M. Lui-Kwan	
	4		Kalama M. Lui-Kwan	
	5		Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street	
	6		Mountain View, CA 94041 Telephone: (650) 988-8500	
	7		Facsimile: (650) 938-5200	
	8		Attorneys for Defendants Peter F. Van Camp, Keith D. Taylor, Sushil Kapoor, Marjorie S.	
	9		Backaus, Renee F. Lanam, Peter T. Ferris, Brandi Galvin Morandi, Scott Kriens, Louis J.	
	10		Lavigne, Jr., and Gary Hromadko, and Nominal Defendant Equinix, Inc.	
	11	Dated: December 22, 2006	LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP	
	12		By: /s/	
	13		By: /s/ Shawn A. Williams	
	14		100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111	
	15 16		Telephone: (415) 288-4545 Facsimile: (415) 288-4534	
	17		Attorneys for Plaintiff John Droskokski	
	18	Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I		
	19	attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained		
	20	from Shawn A. Williams.		
	21	*	* *	
	22	PURSUANT TO THE STIPLU ATION IT IS	S SO ORDERED Any motion shall be noticed	
	23	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Any motion shall be noticed for hearing on a date not less than 21 days after the replies are filed.		
	24	DATED: <u>January 3, 2007</u> 2 006	My Swhits	
	25		The Honfyfable Jeffrey S. White United States District Court Judge	
	26	25346/00402/LIT/1259367.2		
	27	255TO(100T02) L11/1257501.2		
	28			
	20		2	